## APPLYING ROBOTICS TO HAZMAT

٠.

Dr. Richard V, Welch

Task Manager, Emergency Response Robotics Project

Mail Stop 138-212

Capt. Gary O. Edmonds

JPL Fire Department, HAZMAT Team Leader

Mail Stop 281-106

Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology

4800 Oak Grove Drive ,

Pasadena, CA 91109-8099

#### **ABSTRACT**

The use of robotics in situations involving hazardous materials can significantly reduce the risk of human injuries. The Emergency Response Robotics Project, which began in October 1990 at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, is developing a teleoperated mobile robot allowing HAZMAT (hazardous materials) teams to remotely respond to incidents involving hazardous materials. The current robot, called HAZBOT III, can assist in locating, characterizing, identifying, and mitigating hazardous material incidence without risking entry team personnel. The active involvement of the JPL Fire Department HAZMAT team has been vital in developing a robotic system which enables them to perform remote reconnaissance of a HAZMAT incident site. This paper provides a brief review of the history of the project, discusses the current system in detail, and presents other areas in which robotics can be applied removing people from hazardous environments/operations.

### INTRODUCTION

Responding to incidents involving hazardous materials can be extremely dangerous and requires specially trained HAZMAT personnel. Upon arrival to an incident site, the HAZMAT team must first try to determine what types of materials are involved and what threat they present. Unfortunately, records may not be complete.or easily accessible and the only way to determine the type and extent of the spill is to send in HAZMAT team personnel.

First entry into incident sites where the types of materials involved have not been identified is particularly dangerous. Members of the team must take all precautions and wear full protective gear including a self contained breathing apparatus and a multi-layer protective suit as shown in Figure 1. This type of protective gear significantly restricts mobility, allows only 15 to 30 minutes of work time, and is extremely hot and stressful on the wearer. Moreover it can take up to an hour for the entry team to suit up once it has arrived at the incident site, delaying identification of the hazard.

The Emergency Response Robotics Project at JPL is prototyping a mobile robot system that can be quickly deployed by HAZMAT teams enabling remote reconnaissance of an incident site without risk to team personnel. The primary goals of the project are



Figure 1: HAZMAT Team Personnel in Protective Suits

- Develop a teleoperated mobile robots ystem which can be easily operated by HAZMAT team personnel allowing remote access to an incident site (which may require climbing stairs, unlocking/opening doors, and operating in confined spaces), identification of chemical spills via visual inspection and remote chemical sensing, as well as aid in incident mitigation/containment.
- Work directly with the end-user of such a system (WI. Fire Department HAZMAT team) to establish system requirements as well as use and critique the system under development.
- •Work to transfer technology and concepts developed under the project to industry.

These initial goals of the project are discussed in detail in [1]. Other examples of the application of robotics to hazardous material operations are given in [2,3,41.

Several commercially available robotic vehicles were evaluated and two REMOTEC<sup>1</sup> ANDROS Mark V-A systems were procured. (A reference book which covers many of the commercially available and research robots for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>REMOTEC, 114 Union Valley Road, Oak Ridge, TN 37830

hazardous operations is [5].) The ANDROS robot has a variety of important features needed for the project including its rugged construction, track drive system (enabling stair climbing), m anipulator, on-board battery power, and sufficient size to support addition of equipment. Communication between the robot and operator control station is achieved by a 100m tether.

The next section of the paper briefly describes the initial modifications to the ANDROS robot undertaken in the first year of the project leading to the HAZBOT II system. (The name HAZBOT I being given to the "as purchased" system.) The section following this discusses the development of HAZBOT III, a major rebuild of the ANDROS robot. The current status of the project and future plans are then presented. Finally, other areas of potential use of HAZBOT or similar robotic systems are discussed.

### HAZBOT 11

The most important factor in the development of the HAZBOT II system was training and experimentation with the JPL Fire Department HAZMAT team to determine their requirements, This testing revealed the need for several modifications. One of the most important was the redesign of the operator control panel. The control panel supplied with the system, shown in the bottom of Figure 2, used an array of simple toggle switches to actuate a joint in the robot manipulator. For example, one switch was labeled elbow up/down. This type of control was very difficult for the trainees to master because whether or not the elbow joint caused the forearm of the manipulator to actually move up or down was dependent on the current position or configuration of the manipulator. This type of control therefore led to many mistakes during operation of the manipulator.




Figure 2: REMOTEC Control Panel and JPL Redesign

Anew control panel was constructed that used a simple side view graphic of the robot with controls for each joint placed at the corresponding point of the drawing as shown in the top of Figure 2. The toggle switches were replaced with spring loaded potentiometers: for instance, rotation of the elbow potentiometer clockwise caused the elbow joint to also rotate clockwise. This system was found much more intuitive for the HAZMAT team personnel and led to far fewer mistakes during manipulation tasks,

The HAZBOT II system included a variety of other experimental modifications to the original REMOTEC vehicle such as:

- •Development of specialized key tools for unlocking doors.
- •Placement of the pan/ti h camera on movable boom allowing better viewing angles during manipulation tasks.
- •Addition of a commercial combustible gas sensor often used by HAZMAT teams.
- •Addition of a laser depth cuing system.

These modifications are described in greater detail in [61.

We have had active communication with REMOTEC, keeping them up to date on modifications to the system. The control panel redesign has been successfully transferred back to REMOTEC and is being used as a prototype for their new control panels. Currently we are identifying technology in HAZBOT III which can be utilized by REMOTEC in upgrading their own system.




Figure 3: Hazbot II Unlocking Chemical Storeroom Door

At the end of the first year of the project, a simulated HAZMAT reconnaissance mission was carried out by the JPL HAZMAT team using the HAZBOT II system. The mission (described in [61) included: opening of the exterior door of a building which had a thumb latch style handle and deployment of a door stop; sensing around a chemical storeroom door for combustible vapors; unlocking and opening of the storeroom door (as shown in Figure 3); and operation in the very small storeroom locating a simulated chemical spill. The operator control station used for the mission, including video displays, the tether spool, and control panel, is shown in Figure 4.




Figure 4: Operator Control St ation

Although the use of mobile robots in HAZMAT operations was shown feasible by this first year demonstration, a variety of issues were identified that must be addressed for the system to be used in real response missions:

- Redesign of the robot so that it can operate in environments that may contain combustible gases. This is particularly important in first entry situations where the type of hazard is unknown and potentially combustible.
- Redesign of robot with smooth profile and appropriate sealing so that is can be easily decent aminated after a mission,
- Improvement of manipulator in terms of speed and dexterit y.
- Continued enhancement of the operator controls.
- Addition of tetherless operation to allow deployment of vehicle greater than 100m from incident site and increase its mobility.

The next section describes how these requirements and the lessons learned in the first year of the project have been used to develop the HAZBOT HI system.

### HAZBOT 111

The focus of the second year of the project was to significantly redesign HAZBOT I (the ANDROS robot that had not been modified in the first year) to meet the system requirements enumerated in the previous section. The primary motivation in design of the new system was the need for operation in Class I, Division 1 environments as defined by the NEC (National Electric Code): environments which contain ignitable concentrations of flammable gases. A two tiered approach was used to address this design requirement, First, all electrical components that may cause electrical arcs or sparks during normal operation were replaced with solid state devices, This included using solid state relays instead of mechanical relays and replacing the brushed DC motors with brushless motors. As a second precaution, all areas of the robot that contain electrical components that could fail and cause sparks are pressurized. The system was not designed to be hermetically sealed but rather to support a small pressure above atmospheric so as not to allow any combustible vapors to enter the system while in operation,

HAZBOT III incorporates the following modifications and features:

- A five foot reach manipulator with a 40 lb payload capacity.
- Parallel jaw gripper with 30 lb grip force.
- Smooth profile to ease decontamination and reduce possibility of snagging during manipulation tasks.
- \ Internal channels to support pressurization of manipulator,
- Provisions for two movable booms on torso (one currently being used for pan/tilt camera) which also include channels for pressurization.
- ARoss-HimeDesigns33 DOF OMNI-Wrist.
- An ATM 3300<sup>4</sup> specific gas and general combustible gas sensor integrated into forearm and drawing samples in through tip of gripper.
- Use of all brushless DC motors.
- A wrist mounted camera to aid in manipulation tasks.
- Increases of up to 7.5 times in joint speed over original manipulator.
- Low backlash through the use of harmonic drives.
- Reduction of manipulator weight from 150 lbs to 100 lbs.

Other important features of HAZBOT 111 include a winch system which can be deployed by the manipulator, a microphone and speaker allowing 2-way audio communication, a front mounted tool holder, and an on-board pressure tank.

The chassis of the robot was also enlarged to house a VME type computer system and control electronics. The original ANDROS vehicle used a simple computer system with open loop control of the manipulator, The new VME system includes a 68030 CPU, closed loop control of the new 6 axis manipulator, a variety of analog and digital 1/0, as well as room for expansion. Software has been developed using the VxWork<sup>5</sup> real-time operating system. This computer system provides a solid foundation for future development in coordinated manipulator motion, automation of sub-tasks such as tool retrieval/storage, as well as remote sensing.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Ross-Hime Designs, Minneapolis, MN 55414

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>AIM USA, Houston, TX 77272

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Wind River Systems, Alameda, CA 94501

In early 1993, HAZBOT III was used to perform a second simulated HAZMAT mission in conjunction with the JPL HAZMAT team. The mission, carried out in the waste material storage facility at JPL was modeled after an actual incident which had occurred at the site a year earlier. The mission included:

- •Unlocking and opening an exterior gate to the facility.
- · Locating a simulated spill through an inspection window in storeroom door.
- Unlocking and opening the door to the storeroom as shown in Figure 5 (utilizing the same keytool used to unlock gate).
- · Deployment of absorbent pads on spill.
- Opening of cabinet from where the leak was detected.
- Visual inspection and identification of a broken container responsible for spill.




Figure 5: HAZBOT III Unlocking Storeroom Door

Most currently, the track drive sub-system is being upgraded with brushless motors and the pressurization system tested to complete the system rebuild for operat ion in combustible environments. Training and experimentation of HAZBOTIII by the HAZMAT team will continue and help identify areas for continued development. Another simulated response mission is planned for late 1993.

# **FUTURE PLANS**

The HAZBOT III system has addressed many of the requirements as defined by the Fire Department and project team. Two important issues which will be explored over the next year of the project are:

• Tetherless operation - Depending on the type of incident, the robot may have to be deployed at a distance to the incident site greater than its 100m tether length. Also, complex site entry with multiple doors, stairs, etc. increases the chance of snagging the tether and delaying or ending the mission. The tether can be replaced by an RF link for two video signals, 2-way audio, and 2-way data communication,

ͺ ʹ,

• Operator controls - The control panel developed in the first year of the project for HAZBOT II has also been used for HAZBOT III, Although a significant improvement from the original design, a wide variety of enhancements can be made to make the operators job easier, (Operator fatigue is a major problem in teleoperations.) These include control algorithm development for coordinated manipulator motion, automat ion of simple sub-tasks such as tool retrieval/storage, addition of a graphical display indicating "system status, sensor data, and vehicle kinematics. (One of the most redundant tasks undertaken by the operator is verification of manipulator position/orientation by scanning with pan/tilt camera.) Additional sensors will also be added to provide information to the operator, It is important to note that the users of this system are not researchers or engineers but Fire Fighters. The controls and feedback to the operator must be in a form that makes sense to them and allows them to confidently use the system for HAZMAT operations.

### **OTHER APPLICATIONS**

Injury or loss of human life can be prevented by using robots in hazardous environments and operations. Robots are now routinely used in industry performing potential dangerous operations such as welding, painting, and material movement. More general purpose robots that can fulfill the need of HAZMAT and other dangerous operations are just crossing the line of economic feasibility. A few years ago, the use of robots by bomb disposal teams was unheard of, while today nearly every major municipal police department has a mobile robot at their disposal. (Newspaper articles describing the exploits of such systems are becoming evermore frequent.) These robots do not replace the highly trained and skilled people in police and tire departments, but rather provides an additional tool that can protect them from injury or death. Other areas for applications of mobile robots similar to the ones discussed here are:

- Mining operations Not only in general mining operations but perhaps more importantly in gaining access to a m ine after an accident, Often the build up of methane or other combustible gases keep rescue teams from entering a mine until it has vented; a system designed for operations in such atmospheres could explore the accident site immediately and help save lives.
- Remote Sampling Unfortunately today we are faced with many hazardous material dumps which must be monitored on a regular basis. Mobile robots can be stationed at these sites to provide remote sensing and data gathering capabilities rather than repeatedly sending people into the area. Entry into newly discovered sites (for example, those found during military base closures) is very dangerous because the types of materials and the extent of the danger is unknown. Teleoperated robots enable people to remotely and therefore safely explore and classify these sites.
- Law enforcement -As mentioned above, mobile robots arc now widely used for bomb disposal. Such systems have also seen duty in hostage situations and armed stand-offs. Robots provide law enforcement agencies remote eyes and cars helping to catch criminals with reduced risk to department personnel.

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

his paper has described the Emergency Response Robotics Project and the development of the HAZBOT robots at JPL. The project, currently in it third year, is prototyping a teleoperated mobile robot for use by the JPL Fire Department HAZMAT team in responding to incidents involving hazardous materials. Key features of the current system include: